

The Panton Practice

Inspection report

14 Gervis Road Bournemouth Dorset BH13EG Tel: 08444773490 www.thepantonpractice.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 18 April 2018 Date of publication: 25/06/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Requires improvement	
Are services safe?	Requires improvement	
Are services effective?	Requires improvement	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Overall summary

This practice is rated as Requires Improvement overall. (Previous inspection May 2018 – Good)

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? - Requires Improvement

Are services effective? - Requires Improvement

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at The Panton Practice on 18 April 2018 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice provided care and support for patients who were also carers. There was a carers lead and patients were invited to attend a walking group, led by a practice nurse, three times a week.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it

• The practice had identified the needs of the practice population groups and provided services accordingly. For example, 30% of patients were students. The practice had employed two nurse practitioners to increase the capacity to meet the needs of this patient population group.

The areas where the provider **Must** make improvements are:

- Ensure persons employed in the provision of the regulated activity receive the appropriate support, training, professional development, supervision and appraisal necessary to enable them to carry out the duties.
- Ensure specified information is available regarding each person employed.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements are:

- Review the systems to engage patients with learning disabilities to attend annual reviews.at the practice or other healthcare provider.
- Review systems to monitor the storage and movement of blank prescription forms to reduce the risk of theft and/or misuse.
- Review systems to increase uptake of health checks such as cervical screening.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGPChief Inspector of General Practice

Population group ratings

Older people	Requires improvement
People with long-term conditions	Requires improvement
Families, children and young people	Requires improvement
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Requires improvement
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Requires improvement
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Requires improvement

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a CQC lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser.

Background to The Panton Practice

The Panton Practice is situated in central Bournemouth. The practice provides primary medical services to approximately 14,600 patients. The registered provider is The Panton Practice.

The practice is registered to provide regulated activities which include treatment of disease, disorder or injury, surgical procedures, family planning, maternity and midwifery services and diagnostic and screening procedures. The practice operates from the main location;

The Panton Practice

14 Gervis Road

Bournemouth

BH13EG

And the branch surgery;

St Leonards Road

20 St Leonard's Road.

Charminster

Bournemouth

BH880N

We visited The Panton Practice location during this inspection.

The practice population is in the fourth most deprived decile for deprivation. In a score of one to ten the lower the decile the more deprived an area is. The average life expectancy is below the national average. The average life expectancy for male patients was 77 years compared to the national average of 79 years. The average life expectancy for female patients was 82 years compared to the national average of 83 years.

There are four GP partners and three salaried GPs. The practice also employed two nurse practitioners, two practice nurses, one health care assistant, a practice manager, a financial manager and additional administration and reception staff. The practice was a training practice for doctors training to be GPs.

The practice and branch are open between 8am until 6.30pm, every week day. When the practice is closed patients are directed to out of hours services by dialling the NHS 111 service.



Are services safe?

We rated the practice as requires improvement for providing safe services.

The practice was rated as requires improvement for providing safe services because:

 The practice did not have a system to ensure that the distribution and storage of prescription stationary was safely monitored, to reduce the potential risk of theft or misuse

of blank prescription forms.

- Not all staff, including clinical staff, had undertaken mandatory training appropriate to their role. This included safeguarding adults and children training and fire training.
- The practice was not able to demonstrate that a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check for an advanced nurse practitioner had been applied for.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. Not all staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. For example, seven members of staff had not undertaken safeguarding adults training, including an advanced nurse practitioner, a GP and the practice manager.
- Seven members of staff had not undertaken safeguarding children training, including a nurse practitioner and the practice manager. One GP had undertaken level two safeguarding children training but not level three.
- Staff knew how to identify and report concerns. Reports and learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had either received a DBS check or the practice had completed a risk assessment. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.

- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis. However, the practice was not able to demonstrate that a DBS had been applied for a nurse practitioner who commenced employment in 2017.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice had arrangements to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe and in good working order.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis. Reception staff were able to describe what action they would take in a medical emergency if a patient required immediate medical attention.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff. There was a documented approach to managing test results.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines



Are services safe?

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines, However, there was not a system in place to ensure the safe storage of blank prescription forms.

- There was not a record to evidence that the blank prescription stock was checked for serial numbers when delivered to the practice. Prescription forms were kept in a lockable cupboard and returned to the cupboard at the end of each day. However, there was not a record kept of the distribution of the blank prescription form stock within the practice to evidence who the prescriptions have been distributed to and on what time and date.
- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing and taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture of safety that led to safety improvements.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



We rated the practice and all of the population groups as requires improvement for providing effective services.

The practice was rated as requires improvement for providing effective services because;

 Not all staff had the right training, skills and knowledge to do their job. There were gaps in management and support arrangements for staff, such as appraisal, oversight and completion of mandatory training. For example, basic life support training, safeguarding adults and children training and fire safety training.

(Please note: Any Quality and Outcomes (QOF) data relates to 2016/17. QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice.)

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff used appropriate tools to assess the level of pain in patients.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice had engaged in an 'over 75's project' where they had employed a GP for two years to provide a holistic service for patients aged over 75 years old.
 Following this, the practice were engaged with the local frailty team which supported patients from six practices, including The Panton Practice. Staff could refer patients living with frailty to the local frailty team.

- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- A practice nurse was the chronic disease lead. Staff who
 were responsible for reviews of patients with long term
 conditions had received specific training.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice had arrangements for adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease including the offer of high-intensity statins for secondary prevention, people with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how they identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension)

Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines. These patients were provided with advice and post-natal support in accordance with best practice guidance.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.



Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 55%, which was below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme. The practice was aware of this and believed this is due to the cultural diversity of the patients and had found that some female patients had chosen to have cervical screening undertaken in other countries. The practice had been proactive at recording results for patients who had been screened in other countries. The practice also had cervical screening information available in several different languages.
- The practices' uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was in line the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. The practice did not undertake these checks as part of the services it offered but referred patients to external services that offered NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability. The practice had identified 44 patients who had been diagnosed with a learning disability. Between April 2017 and March 2018, the practice had completed 27 reviews. The practice told us this low number was due to patients not attending appointments they had offered. The practice told us they had proactively contacted patients who had not attended appointments.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- 84% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the previous 12 months. This is comparable to the national average.
- 88% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is comparable to the national average.
- The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and those living with dementia. For example, 88% of patients experiencing poor mental health had received discussion and advice about alcohol consumption. This is comparable to the national average.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia.
 When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. For example, the practice undertook an audit of a prescribed medicine used to treat patients experiencing leg cramps. This was in response to national guidelines recommending a reduction in prescribing of the medicine due to potential side effects. The 39 patients identified as being prescribed the medicine were invited for a review and the potential risks explained to them. This resulted in a reduction of 41% of the original 39 patients being prescribed the medicine. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.



- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them, however, not all staff had undertaken mandatory training. The practice did have an overview system of all staff training in place, however, it not include all staff or all training. At the time of inspection, the practice was unable to demonstrate what training had been undertaken by all staff members and when refresher training was due to be completed. We discussed this with the practice who subsequently updated their training overview system. The updated training system evidenced that not all mandatory training had been completed by all staff. For example, seven staff had not completed safeguarding children or adults training, 15 staff had not undertaken fire training and six staff had not undertaken basic life support training.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. This included an induction process, one-to-one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and support for revalidation. All clinical staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months with the exception of two nurses, both of whom last received an appraisal in 2015. No non-clinical staff had not received an appraisal since May 2015. In total at the time of inspection only 10 out of 30 staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months. The practice told us this was due to not having the full complement of staff posts filled. The practice was in the process of appointing a reception supervisor and told us that they had scheduled for all appraisals to be completed by May

- 2018. The induction process for healthcare assistants included the requirements of the Care Certificate. The practice ensured the competence of staff employed in advanced roles by audit of their clinical decision making, including non-medical prescribing.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when deciding care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. The shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.



- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practice provided care and support for patients who were also carers. There was a carers lead and patients were invited to attend a walking group, led by a practice nurse, three times a week.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Telephone consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- A staff member was able to communicate by using sign language and was able to support patients who also used sign language, to communicate with staff, if they wished to be supported and had consented to this.
- The practice used a text message alert system to remind patients about appointments.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GPs and nurse practitioners also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice.

People with long-term conditions:

 Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs. • The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

 The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, 30% of patients were students. The practice had employed two nurse practitioners to increase the capacity to meet the needs of this patient population group.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice held GP led dedicated monthly mental health and dementia clinics. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.

Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

• Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised. The practice was able to refer patients to the urgent care centre at the local hospital between 10am until 3pm.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

At our last inspection in May 2015, we informed the practice that they should share learning with all relevant staff of complaints that occur and undertake analysis to identify trends or themes. At this inspection we saw that the overview system used to manage complaints demonstrated that the practice had undertaken analysis to identify trends. We saw that the practice had shared learning with all relevant staff.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.



Are services well-led

We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice
 had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to
 achieve priorities. The practice developed its vision,
 values and strategy jointly with patients, staff and
 external partners.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.

- We saw the practice had implemented positive changes to the care and treatment of patients following reviews of complaints and significant event analysis. Lessons learned had been shared with staff on each occasion.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. The practice did not have an up to date system to ensure oversight of training completed and required for all staff. Not all staff had received and appraisal within the last twelve months. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- Clinical staff were considered valued members of the practice team. They were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity.
 Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted interactive and co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control. However, there were shortfalls in the management of governance system that ensured the safety of patients. For example the completion of mandatory training for all staff and undertaking recruitment checks including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks for all staff.
- Staff worked across the practice and the branch practice. Managers regularly visited the branch site and we saw staff audited the premises, equipment and infection prevention and control procedures as regularly as they had been undertaken at the practice.



Are services well-led

Practice leaders had established proper policies,
 procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured
 themselves that they were operating as intended.
 However, there was not a system to record the storage
 and movement of prescription safety throughout the
 practice to reduce the risk of theft or misuse. Staff
 informed us that there used to be a system t record
 receipt of prescription stationary but it was no longer in
 use. Managers were not aware that there was not a
 system in place to ensure the safe storage and use of
 prescription stationary.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions.
 Practice leaders had oversight of national and local safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand their impact on the quality of care.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.

- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There was evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the Evidence Tables for further information.

Requirement notices

Action we have told the provider to take

The table below shows the legal requirements that the service provider was not meeting. The provider must send CQC a report that says what action it is going to take to meet these requirements.

Regulated activity Regulation Regulation 18 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Staffing Diagnostic and screening procedures Family planning services Regulation 18 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014: Maternity and midwifery services Requirements in relation to staffing Surgical procedures Treatment of disease, disorder or injury How the regulation was not being met The service provider had failed to ensure that persons employed in the provision of a regulated activity received such appropriate support, training, professional development, supervision and appraisal as was necessary to enable them to carry out the duties they were employed to perform. In particular: • Mandatory training had not been completed or updated in timely manner for all staff such as safeguarding adults and children, basic life support and fire safety training. Training, learning and development needs were not always identified, planned for and supported. Not all staff had formal supervision and appraisal as was necessary for their roles. This was in breach of regulation 18 (2) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

Regulated activity

Diagnostic and screening procedures

Family planning services

Maternity and midwifery services

Regulation

Regulation 19 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Fit and proper persons employed

This section is primarily information for the provider

Requirement notices

Surgical procedures

Treatment of disease, disorder or injury

Regulation 19 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014: Persons employed for the purposes of carrying on a regulated activity must be fit and proper persons

How the regulation was not being met:

The registered person had not ensured that all the information specified in Schedule 3 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014 was available for each person employed. In particular:

 Information about a candidate had not been confirmed before they were employed as the practice had not undertaken a disclosure and barring service (DBS) check.

This was in breach of regulation 19 (3) of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.